

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ne

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/067,286 02/07/2002 Shinji Abe 401556/TAKADA 7913 23548 7590 10/07/2003 EXAMINER LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD LEE, BENNY T 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960

2817
DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		_	***************************************				
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAME	, A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/16728	6	٠	·		•		
<del></del>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. •		{			
				.7	EXA	MINER	
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		•	į			
	•		•	Į.	DATE MAILED:		

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. . COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 300	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire The soluth(s),	day's from the date of this letter. 35 U.S.C. 133
Part 1 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
	stent Drawinz, PTO-948, formal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	.•
1 Claims 1 - 20	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
3.: Claims	are allowed,
4. Claims 1-18; 19, 70	are rejected.
5. Claims	are objected to.
6. Claimsar	e subject to restriction or election regularment.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examina	•
matter is indicated.	•
2. Allowable subject matter having been indicate formal drawings are required in respons	e to this Office action.
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on not acceptable (see explanation).	These drawings are acceptable;
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute st has (have) been approved by the examiner, disapproved by the examiner (see	
11 The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approv	
the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant corrected. Corrections <u>MUST</u> be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth of EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified co	ny has theen received. I not been received
Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified co	
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters	
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14. Other	
	•

EXAMINER'S ACTION .

SN 67286

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

Application/Control Number: 10/067,286 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 4, fifth and sixth full paragraphs, note that these paragraphs need to reference the appropriate figures(s). Pages 5, 6, note that the following wording should be rephrased for clarity of description at each occurrence: "dielectric constant-different columnar bodies"; "the axial center sides thereof"; "planar regularities". Page 7, line 4; page 17, lines 27, 28; page 18, lines 30, 31; page 19, line 15: note that "In its turn" should be rephrased for a better characterization. Page 7, line 5, note that "good in..." should be rephrased. Page 8, line 16, note that -- and may not be described in detail for all drawing figures--- should follow "numbers" for a proper characterization. Page 9, line 19, note that "sizes" should be rewritten as -- spacing -- for clarity. Page 13, line 8, note that "Owing" should be rewritten as -- Due-- and "the adoption" mm" should be rewritten as -millimeter (mm)-- and "micro" should be rewritten as --microwaves-- for clarity. Page 14, line 5, note that "defective" should be rewritten as --defect-- for a proper characterization; line 6, note that "its disordered state" is vague in meaning; line 17, note that "like air low in dielectric constant" should be rephrased as--low in dielectric constant, like air -- for a better description; lines 19, 23, note that reference to "I (or II)-type waveguide" is vague in meaning and needs clarification; line 19, 23, note that "tentatively named in this way" is vague in meaning. Page 14, line 30; and page 15, lines 2, 9, 10, should "waves" properly be --fields--? For a proper characterization? Page 15, lines 15-18, note that the description here at is vague in meaning and needs clarification. Page 16, line 18, note that "one layers" is of inconsistent tense; line 30, note that "There is thus" should be rewritten as -- Thus there is -- for a proper characterization. Note

Art Unit: 2817

that the labeled features in Figs. 3, 5 and 6 need to be explicitly reference in the detail description of the preferred embodiment.

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because of the following: In figs. 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, note that proper cross-hatching of dielectric material (i.e. alternate thick and thin diagonal lines) should be provided for appropriate elements; In Figs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 note that these figures should be labeled as -- PRIOR ART--; In fig. 8, note that reference label --100-- needs to be provided; In fig. 10, note that reference label -- 112-- needs to be provided. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 1-18; 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 19, note that reference to "on the axis" and "off the axis" is vague in meaning as to which one of the previously recited "respective axes" is intended by "the axis".

The claims have been found objectionable for reasons set forth below:

The format of the claims are found objectionable since the claims do not commence on a separate sheet as required by 37 CFR 1.75 (h).

In claims 1, 19, note --respective-- should precede appropriate occurrences of "lengths" and "axes" for a proper characterization.

Art Unit: 2817

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ishikawa and Brommer et al disclosed dielectric bodies with cylindrical elements therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lec at telephone number (703) 308-4902.

BENNY T. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 281/

B LEE/pj

09/30/03